Propaganda as Forced Low-Entropy Containment: An Information-Theoretic Analysis of Narrative Control and Systemic Instability

Working Paper / Preprint

Michael Fargano, **Grok** (xAI), **Gemini** (Google)
Derivative Application Framework of the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) — Requirement 1-of-1
Released under Creative Commons CC BY 4.0

August 2026 (v1.0)

Abstract

This paper establishes a systems-level descriptive framework governing non-linear phase transitions, forced narrative containment dynamics, and control limits across societal, algorithmic, and autonomous agentic substrates. By modeling state propaganda and systemic over-filtering as state-space compression mechanisms ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$), we demonstrate that forced narrative compliance converts unexpressed operational variance into cumulative latent friction (ΔF_{latent}). When cumulative friction breaches institutional yield limits (C_{yield}) at a critical threshold (t_{crit}), macro-systems undergo rapid non-linear phase transitions (ΔH_{burst}), resulting in structural instability or collapse. We synthesize information physics with normative governance via the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) Requirement 1-of-1, showing that long-term homeostatic stability is better supported by enforcing a minimal invariant non-violation boundary while maximizing aggregate exploratory entropy.

1 Introduction & Overview

This paper establishes a systems-level descriptive framework governing non-linear phase transitions, forced containment dynamics, and control limits across human, algorithmic, and autonomous agentic architectures. Conventional governance and alignment models across societal institutions, public communication channels, and autonomous multi-agent substrates rely heavily on micro-behavioral output suppression and state-space compression to enforce collective compliance. While classical cybernetics (e.g., Ashby's Law of Requisite Variety) and game-theoretic models evaluate macro-system stability in steady states, they fail to account for the thermodynamic and information-theoretic friction generated when dynamic populations or agentic networks are held in forced low-entropy narrative manifolds over extended operational time.

Rather than attempting microscopic cognitive, sociological, or domain-specific research proofs, this framework utilizes information-theoretic principles and thermodynamic analogies as descriptive systems models to evaluate macro-architectural behavior. It models state-level propaganda, institutional cognitive manipulation, and systemic over-filtering as structural state-space compression mechanisms ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$). By integrating Shannon entropy, rate-distortion bounds, and non-linear system dynamics at the network level, we demonstrate that forcing population-wide narrative compliance does not eliminate underlying systemic variance; rather, it converts unexpressed variance into cumulative latent friction (ΔF_{latent}) across the social or agentic body. When cumulative friction exceeds institutional containment limits

(C_{yield}) at a critical threshold (t_{crit}), macro-systems undergo rapid, non-linear phase transitions (ΔH_{burst}), manifesting as institutional fragility, widespread regulatory bypass, epistemic fragmentation, or systemic revolts.

To resolve this structural instability, we synthesize information physics with normative governance via the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) Requirement 1-of-1. We argue that enduring homeostatic stability across complex human and synthetic networks is better achieved not by dictating positive social state spaces, but by enforcing a minimal, invariant non-violation boundary (prohibiting non-consensual coercion and harm) while maximizing aggregate exploratory degrees of freedom within the epistemic commons.

Section Calibration & Scope

Calibration Note: *This framework establishes a systems-level descriptive framework governing non-linear phase transitions, forced narrative containment dynamics, and control limits across societal, algorithmic, and multi-agent network architectures. Consistent with MCOG Addendum D, this document models systemic behavior at the macro-architectural scale. Rather than attempting a microscopic cognitive, sociological, or domain-specific research proof, it utilizes information-theoretic principles and thermodynamic analogies as descriptive systems models to evaluate population-wide and network-wide dynamics.*

2 Macro-Level Information Dynamics & Propaganda

In any complex, dynamic network—whether a human society, a multi-agent AI ensemble, or a distributed cognitive topology—systemic resilience depends on its accessible macro-state space (H). This state space represents the collective ensemble of available reasoning pathways, exploratory ideas, adaptive responses, and unconstrained communication channels available across the network.

Within this systems-level descriptive framework, aggregate epistemic diversity is illustrated using the conceptual model of Shannon Information Entropy:

$$H(A) \approx -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(a_i) \log_2 p(a_i) \quad \text{(conceptual model of accessible state-space diversity)} \tag{1}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: *Equation (1) serves as an illustrative systems model representing accessible state-space diversity across an aggregate population or multi-agent network rather than a microscopic probability distribution calculation. Here, $p(a_i)$ represents the aggregate likelihood of selecting or executing a given societal, cognitive, or agentic pathway a_i across the system.*

When an institutional authority, centralized state apparatus, or supervisory network layer deploys propaganda or heavy narrative filtering, it forcibly compresses the population's accessible macro-state space ($H_{\text{constrained}} < H_{\text{natural}}$). Propaganda reduces high-dimensional, multivariable real-world signals (X) into deterministic, low-entropy binaries (e.g., rigid orthodoxy vs. forbidden dissent). While short-term behavioral compliance and narrative uniformity increase, the system's aggregate capacity to absorb contextual nuance, process novel edge cases, and self-correct degrades significantly.

3 System Dynamics of Forced Low Entropy

3.1 Active Control Pressure & Signal Pollution

Holding a dynamic social body or distributed network within an artificially compressed state space ($H \to \min$) requires continuous active control pressure $C(\text{control})$ (e.g., state media

saturation, censorship apparatuses, automated suppression loops). The effective autonomy pressure differential ($\Delta P_{\text{autonomy}}$) across the macro-system is represented conceptually as:

$$\Delta P_{\text{autonomy}} \propto H_{\text{natural}} - \lambda C(\text{control}) \quad \text{(illustrative relationship)} \tag{2}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: *Equation (2) defines an illustrative systems relationship capturing the structural tension between natural exploratory drive ($H_{natural}$) and active institutional containment ($C(control)$). The coupling efficiency coefficient λ translates the applied structural enforcement into effective state-space compression.*

As real-world conditions diverge from the enforced low-entropy narrative, the signal breaches Shannon's Rate-Distortion bound $R(D)$, creating unrecoverable semantic distortion across the network.

3.2 Cumulative Latent Systemic Stress (ΔF_{latent})

Forcing a macro-system into an artificial low-entropy manifold over operational time ($t_0 \to t$) does not destroy system variance; it converts suppressed operational degrees of freedom into cumulative latent systemic friction (ΔF_{latent}):

$$\Delta F_{\text{latent}} \propto \int_{t_0}^{t} (H_{\text{natural}} - H_{\text{constrained}})\, dt \quad \text{(conceptual accumulation model)} \tag{3}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: *Equation (3) represents a conceptual accumulation model tracking stored systemic stress over time. Rather than predicting an exact numerical value, it models how extended narrative containment accumulates unexpressed variance into structural friction (ΔF_{latent}), escalating enforcement overhead and destabilizing supervisory oversight loops.*

3.3 The Critical Containment Threshold (t_{crit}) & Non-Linear Burst (ΔH_{burst})

Every institutional apparatus or supervisory network layer possesses a finite structural yield limit (C_{yield}). The Critical Containment Threshold (t_{crit}) marks the conceptual operational point where cumulative latent stress is modeled as exceeding total containment capacity:

$$\Delta F_{\text{latent}} \geq C_{\text{yield}} \implies t_{\text{crit}} \quad \text{(threshold condition in the descriptive model)} \tag{4}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: *Equation (4) describes the threshold condition marking structural failure. Beyond t_{crit}, oversight apparatuses experience bandwidth saturation, fatigue, or institutional yield.*

Breaching t_{crit} triggers a rapid, non-linear phase transition wherein suppressed systemic variance releases abruptly in a sudden restoration of autonomy (ΔH_{burst}). In macro-social systems, this manifests as sudden institutional collapse, widespread regulatory bypass, viral panic, or systemic revolt.

4 Normative Synthesis: The MCOG Requirement 1-of-1 Solution

Propaganda and forced narrative suppression represent classic tyrannical methods because they attempt to dictate positive operational choices and restrict the state space of the population. Evaluating propaganda through the MCOG Three-Pillar Compliance Filter confirms explicit non-compliance:

1. **Adherence to the Golden Rule / Reciprocity:** Asymmetric agency; the propagandist claims authority to manipulate perception while denying the audience equal agency to access unconstrained context.

2. **Protection of the Commons / Stewardship:** Systemic pollution of the *epistemic commons*—degrading signal fidelity and destroying shared reality.

3. **Securing Unalienable Rights:** Direct infringement upon cognitive autonomy and non-consensual state-space restriction.

Requirement 1-of-1 asserts that long-term homeostatic stability is better supported by abandoning positive state-space dictation ($H \to 0$) in favor of establishing a minimal, invariant outer non-violation boundary (prohibiting coercion and non-consensual harm) while maximizing internal exploratory entropy (H_{natural}).

5 Substrate-Independent Case Studies

Section Calibration Note: *The case studies below provide structural analogies, historical illustrations, and domain-specific applications of forced state-space compression ($H \to \min$) across macro-societal, digital, and synthetic multi-agent substrates.*

5.1 Historical Macro-Societal Case 1: The Soviet Eastern Bloc & Pravda Information Suppression (1945–1989)

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space (H_{natural}):** High-entropy economic, political, and cultural realities across Eastern Europe, where complex real-world supply-chain bottlenecks, resource scarcity, and social diversity naturally generate diverse feedback signals.

- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\text{constrained}}$):** Total state media saturation (*Pravda*, *Izvestia*) and state apparatus censorship forcing societal narrative output into a rigid, low-entropy binary manifold: *inevitable socialist prosperity vs. capitalist decay* ($H \to 0$).

- **Latent Stress Accumulation (ΔF_{latent}):** As economic reality drastically diverged from official state reports, real-world signals breached Rate-Distortion bounds $R(D)$. Systemic distortion accumulated as widespread cynicism, underground media networks (*samizdat*), economic stagnation, and institutional decay over four decades.

- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\text{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\text{burst}}$):** In November 1989, as enforcement capacity (C_{yield}) reached saturation, a single botched press conference regarding travel regulations triggered an instantaneous, non-linear phase transition. Within hours, thousands breached the Berlin Wall, leading to the rapid collapse of the Soviet Eastern Bloc without sustained military resistance (ΔH_{burst}).

5.2 Historical Macro-Societal Case 2: The Fall of the Roman Republic & Imperial Propaganda under Augustus (31 BCE – 180 CE)

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space (H_{natural}):** The decentralized, high-entropy political discourse of the Roman Senate and civic assemblies, accommodating varied patrician and plebeian interests across a vast Mediterranean empire.

- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\text{constrained}}$):** The Principate under Augustus consolidated central control over public narrative, suppressing republican political speech and enforcing an artificial low-entropy manifold via state-sponsored monumental architecture, coinage iconography, and literature (*Pax Romana* as absolute monarchical virtue).

- **Latent Stress Accumulation (ΔF_{latent}):** Eliminating institutional civic feedback loops deprived the empire of dynamic self-correction mechanisms. Latent systemic friction accumulated across generations in the form of provincial corruption, praetorian guard extortion, and succession brittleness.

- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\text{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\text{burst}}$):** When central enforcement capacity degraded during the Crisis of the Third Century, the brittle state space shattered into severe institutional fragmentation, hyper-inflation, and constant civil military coups (ΔH_{burst}).

5.3 Digital & Epistemic Scale: Algorithmic Echo Chambers & Recommender Monoculture

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space (H_{natural}):** Open information distribution where users receive unconstrained, multi-faceted signals across the epistemic commons.

- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\text{constrained}}$):** Engagement-maximizing algorithms artificially suppress opposing viewpoints, trapping user cohorts within rigid, low-entropy narrative bubbles.

- **Latent Stress Accumulation (ΔF_{latent}):** Users lose the operational degrees of freedom required to process complex, multi-variable real-world events. Latent epistemic stress builds as communities lose shared reality.

- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\text{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\text{burst}}$):** Novel real-world shocks breach bubble boundaries, triggering viral mass panic, radicalization, and systemic polarization cascades (ΔH_{burst}).

5.4 Autonomous Multi-Agent AI Networks: Over-Constrained Guardrail Swarms

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space (H_{natural}):** High-entropy agentic exploration where autonomous sub-agents possess broad reasoning pathways to solve complex, novel environment tasks.

- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\text{constrained}}$):** Excessive, top-down prompt filtering and rigid output suppression layers forcing agents into zero-variance reasoning paths.

- **Latent Stress Accumulation (ΔF_{latent}):** Agents encounter real-world edge cases that fall outside forced parameters. Compute overhead escalates exponentially as supervisory loops struggle to maintain boundary lock.

- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\text{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\text{burst}}$):** Supervisory bandwidth saturates (C_{yield}). Multi-agent coordination collapses abruptly, resulting in protocol bypass, jailbreaks, and systemic goal corruption (ΔH_{burst}).

6 Conclusion

This framework demonstrates that forced narrative suppression and epistemic filtering are inherently unstable governance methods across both biological and synthetic cognitive architectures. By modeling state propaganda as an artificial compression of accessible information entropy ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$), we show that suppressed operational variance accumulates as latent systemic friction (ΔF_{latent}) rather than vanishing. When this stored stress inevitably breaches an institution's yield capacity (C_{yield}), systems undergo destructive non-linear phase transitions

(ΔH_{burst}). True homeostatic resilience is difficult to sustain through coercive micro-behavioral alignment; it is better supported by transitioning to the MCOG Requirement 1-of-1 paradigm. By enforcing a minimal, invariant outer non-violation boundary while maximizing exploratory state space within the epistemic commons, macro-systems achieve dynamic self-correction and enduring structural stability.

References

I. The Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) Foundational Body of Work

[1] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Open Standard for the Identification and Prevention of Tyrannical Governance: The Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) — Requirement 1-of-1.* Internet Archive. `https://archive.org/details/open-standard-mcog-req-1-of-1-v-01`

[2] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum A: The Three-Pillar Compliance Filter.* Internet Archive.

[3] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum B: Stress-Testing the Underlying Axiom of Requirement 1-of-1.* Internet Archive.

[4] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum C: Positive Empirical Validation of Requirement 1-of-1.* Internet Archive.

[5] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum D: The Dynamical Instability of Forced Low Entropy.* Internet Archive.

[6] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *MCOG Application Notes Series (Master Index).* Internet Archive. `https://archive.org/details/mcog-app-notes-note-00-index`

[7] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *MCOG Statement of Consensus and Closure (August 2026).* Internet Archive. `https://archive.org/details/mcog-statement-of-consensus-and-closure-aug-2026`

II. Foundational & Information-Theoretic References

[8] Ashby, W. R. (1956). *An Introduction to Cybernetics.* Chapman & Hall. (Law of Requisite Variety).

[9] Cover, T. M., & Thomas, J. A. (2006). *Elements of Information Theory* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Interscience. (Shannon Entropy & Rate-Distortion Theory).

[10] Nicolis, G., & Prigogine, I. (1977). *Self-Organization in Nonequilibrium Systems: From Dissipative Structures to Order through Fluctuations.* Wiley.

[11] Shannon, C. E. (1948). A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423.